## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the Notice of Allowance in the present application. Included with the Notice of Allowance was an Examiner's Amendment cancelling claims 25-28. The Examiner's Amendment indicated that the cancelled claims were directed to a "non-elected species." Such cancellation was in error. Claims 25-28 were subjected to a species election in the Office Action mailed June 8, 2006 and November 14, 2007. As clearly stated in the Office Action of June 8, 2006, "[u]pon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. Claims 25-28 should therefore have been rejoined and allowed as they depend from allowable independent claim 22. M.P.E.P. 821.04(a) also states that "any restriction requirement between the elected invention and any nonelected invention that depends from or otherwise requires all the limitations of an allowable claim should be withdrawn." Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner's Amendment cancelling claims 25-28 be withdrawn. New claims 29-32 have therefore been added and correspond to claims 25-28 cancelled by the Examiner's Amendment. Applicants respectfully request that new claims 29-32 be allowed.

If for any reason the Examiner believes that a telephone conversation will in any way expedite prosecution, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas Portnow
Reg. No. 59,660

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: 650-326-2400
Fax: 415-576-0300
Attachments
D3P:jar61799938 v1